

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispnc.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/992,699	11/14/2001	Johan Samuel Van Den Brink	NL 000606	7458
7:	590 02/28/2003			
U.S. Philips Corporation			EXAMINER	
580 White Plain Tarrytown, NY			VARGAS, DIXOMARA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2862	
		DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/992,699	VAN DEN BRINK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Dixomara Vargas	2862			
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status					
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
	his action is non-final.	·			
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow		rosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.					
7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	or election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 November 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abeyance S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	oved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received in Applicat	ion No			
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a)  The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.					
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-092) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office					

, Art Unit: 2862

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the bipolar gradient pair must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Specification

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

#### Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or

REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a).

"Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)

(e) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.

Art Unit: 2862

(1) Field of the Invention.

- (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (g) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (h) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (i) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).
- 3. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: "MRI with Non-linear Gradient Fields Amplitude Correction".

## Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The claim is not in a proper standard format according to the office practice since the preamble of the claim is not clearly stated with a proper transitional word. The examiner suggest the applicant to use, for example, the word "comprising" in line 1, instead of the word "wherein". Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

. Art Unit: 2862

(e) the invention was described in-

- (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
- (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).
- 6. Claims 1, 2 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Liu et al. (US 6,424,153).

With respect to claims 1, 8 and 9, Liu discloses a magnetic resonance imaging method wherein magnetic resonance signals are generated, and temporary magnetic gradient fields are applied (Column 2, lines 12-37), and wherein the signal amplitudes of the magnetic resonance signals, or quantities calculated from the signal amplitudes (Column 5, lines 44-56), are corrected for deviations that are due to spatial non-linearities of the temporary magnetic gradient fields (Abstract; Column 1, lines 38-63; Column 5, lines 5-20).

- 7. With respect to claim 2, Liu discloses the correction of the signal amplitudes of the magnetic resonance signals is calculated from the spatial and temporary electrical current distribution through the gradient coil (Column 1, lines 38-63).
- 8. With respect to claim 7, Liu discloses the sequence of temporary gradient fields provides flow sensitivity and a flow quantity is derived from the magnetic resonance signals, and the flow, quantity is corrected for deviations that are due to spatial non-linearities of the temporary magnetic gradient fields (Abstract; Column 1, lines 38-63; Column 5, lines 5-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

. Art Unit: 2862

9. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 11. Claims 3-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu et al. (US 6,424,153).

With respect to claim 3, Liu discloses the claimed invention except for the step wherein diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance signals are generated. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Liu for the purpose of providing information depicting molecular displacements comparable to cell dimensions to obtain physiological information by a conventional imaging modality for example, for making diagnoses of diseases in the brain, infarcts, and for characterizing brain tumors.

12. With respect to claim 4, Liu discloses the sequence of temporary gradient fields includes a bipolar gradient pair (Figure 2).

.Art Unit: 2862

With respect to claim 5, Liu discloses the sequence of temporary gradient fields includes 13. a pair of gradient pulses that are separated by an RF refocusing pulse (Figure 2).

With respect to claim 6, Liu discloses the correction for deviations that are due to spatial 14. non-linearities of the temporary magnetic gradient fields (Abstract; Column 1, lines 38-63; Column 5, lines 5-20).

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 15. disclosure. The additional prior art cited at the PTO 892 discloses amplitude modulation for compensating gradients non-linearities like eddy currents.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dixomara Vargas whose telephone number is (703) 305-5705. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am. to 4:30 pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (703) 305-4816. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3432 for regular communications and (703) 305-3432 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-0956.

February 20, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800